

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,315	06/21/2005	David A Eves	GB 020255	1627
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 10/08/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 300	1	SHAH, PARAS D		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/540,315	EVES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PARAS SHAH	2626		

	PARAS SHAH	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
1. So The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension can be corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension can be corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension can be set of the fill and the set of the corresponding amount of he fee. The appropriate extension can be set of the fill and the set of the fill and the fill and of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office letter than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belotic) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red		ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all								
non-allowable claim(s).  Now for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/Patrick N. Edouard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626	/P. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2626							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the first argument, regarding claim 21, regarding the "computer readable medium" was not described in the Specification resulting in a 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection, the rejection has been withdrawn as the Applicants arguments were found to be persuasive.

With respect to claims 1-22, the Applicant's argue that the limitation of "the media fragments are not audio media fragments and wherein the media fragments are unrelated to the audio signal prior to the obtaining act" is not taught in the primary retence of Finn. The Examiner respectfully traverses this assertion. The Applicant is directed towards the reference of Finns' Abstract as well as the previously cited section page 3, lines 5-7. The abstract describes the obtaining of media fragments based on an input. Finn does teach the retrieved media fragments not being the audio media fragments and thus unrelated to the audio signal prior to the obtaining at tisser Finn, Abstract). Further, the limitation of "the media fragments are not audio media fragments where the support of the obtaining at the fragment should be obtained are not the fragments to obtained are not being from the audio signal as described previously in the claim. Thus, the media fragments are not excluded from consisting of an audio segment that is different from the input of the search as taught by Finn, where Finn searches other files that are similar to the input.

Hence, all of the limitations are taught by the combination of references as set forth in the previous Office Action (Final).